

THE EFFECT OF STUDENT TEAM ACHIEVEMENT DIVISIONS (STAD) AND LEARNING MOTIVATION TOWARD THE STUDENTS' READING COMPETENCE OF THE EIGHTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMP N 3 UBUD IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2012/2013

Jony Anto, Pt¹, Padmadewi, N.Y²., Putra, A., J., N³.

^{1,2,3} Program Language Education Study Program, Postgraduate Program
Ganesha University of Education
Singaraja, Indonesia

E-mail: jony.anto@pasca.undiksha.ac.id,
nyoman.padmawati@pasca.undiksha.ac.id,
jaya.putra@pasca.undiksha.ac.id.

Abstract

This study was an experimental research which aimed at identifying the effect of implementation of Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) and learning motivation toward students' reading competence. This research used 2x2 factorial designs. The data collected through test and analyzed by using statistical *Two-Way Anova* and *Tukey Test*. The population was 4 classes (197 students) of grade VIII SMP Negeri 3 UBUD in academic year 2012/2013 in which 2 classes were chosen as the sample of the study. The chosen of two classes as a sample used *intact Random Sampling*. The results of the research were *first*, there was a significant different effect between the students taught by using STAD method and conventional method. *Second*, there was an effect of learning motivation toward student reading competence. *Third*, there was a significant interactional effect between implementation of student's team achievement division (STAD) and Learning motivation toward students reading competence. *Forth*, there was a significant different effect between the students having high motivation taught by using student team achievement division (STAD) and conventional method. *Fifth*, there was a significant different effect between the student having low motivation taught by using student team achievement division (STAD) and conventional method.

Keywords: Reading, Learning Motivation, High Motivation, Low Motivation, STAD Method, Conventional Method.

INTRODUCTION

Language is an important part of human for communication. In reality, language is a means of conveying ideas, mind, opinions and feeling. Language is used by people in a particular country; it means that people from various countries have different languages. However, to maintain the communication among people from different countries, it has been agreed to use only one international language that is the English language. English is spoken in the most International events and it used as the medium of information flow in science, technology, and culture as well. Thus, it is not surprising that the teaching of English is carried out in many parts of the world. Finnochiaro (1975) states that, language learners should be given insight into the place and function of various language items and skills in listening, speaking, reading, and writing activities; that is, in real communication situation. Among these skills, reading is the fundamental skill. It is supported by Moats (1999) as cited in Westwood (2008) who states that reading is the fundamental skill upon which all formal education depends. Through reading, students' knowledge will automatically be enriched which eventually can influence their language skills, such as speaking, listening, and writing. Burns et.al (1996) also states that reading can be a way to share another person's insight, joys, sorrows, or creative endeavors. Reading helps the reader to construct knowledge, share experiences, feeling, ideas, and developing new perspective. It can be said that reading is a tool for expanding reader's knowledge and helping the readers to communicate with other people. Bond (1979) also states that, "reading is the ability to draw meaning from the printed page and interpret this information appropriately". Indeed, reading for general comprehension requires rapid and automatic processing of words, strong skill in forming a general meaning representation of main ideas, and efficient coordination of many processes under much emitted time constraints. In

teaching reading comprehension the teacher has to have a goal to minimize reading difficulties and to maximize comprehension by providing culturally relevant information. What the students read must be relevant to their need and interest and they must be ready, willing and able to read it.

Considering the importance of reading in teaching English, Indonesian government provides sets of a competency standard and basic competency as the minimal requirements that should be achieved by the students in reading skills. The importance of reading skill is composed in the Competence-Based Curriculum of English in junior school. It is oriented towards providing real life reading skills. The objectives of the skill include identifying particular information contained in a text. This objective makes it impossible to separated reading from other language skills. Teaching reading comprehension in Junior High School needs kind of communication system. It is because when the students are taught by using the traditional method, sometime the teacher ignores the student initiatives in giving opinions and communicate with others. This condition may result in more modified interaction, more negotiation, for meaning than do the same task in the teacher-fronted condition. Some methods can be used to improve Reading ability of Junior High School students, like; *discussion, group work, problem solving, etc.* But the strategy that is tried in this research is *cooperative learning*, because it is identical with group work which is familiar to the students.

Based on the observation made by the researcher when doing practice teaching in SMP Negeri 3 UBUD, teaching reading was still on the teacher centered. The teacher explained and introduced some material and asked the students to read a paragraph in the textbook. After that, the students were only assigned to answer the textbook without being given the opportunity to move further. This teaching method was continued in every meeting. Besides, the

teaching reading method was still traditional; the students also seemed unmotivated in learning. Based on from that phenomenon, the researcher would like to find the appropriate method for teaching reading which the learning not only focusing on the teacher centered. STAD, as one of the simplest methods of cooperative learning that can motivate the students to be active in learning; it can be used for applying student centered learning. By working together in a group, the student especially younger learners can feel safe, because they will not worry being pointed to answer question. Group work can help students to become more active in their learning (Killen, 1996)

Student Team Achievement division (STAD) is one of Slavin's basic methods of cooperative learning (Roy Killen, 1996). He states STAD is better and easier ways for teacher teach the student in the group because in the group the student more active and they can share their knowledge each other in solving the problems. STAD method can be used as an alternative method for teaching reading at SMP (Junior High School), and it could make students more active in interacting with their friends to solve kind of problems or students centered in teaching learning process. The role of the teacher is supporting all the students for making part in the group. So, teaching and learning process will run effectively. The steps of STAD are (Slavin, 2009): *firstly*, the teacher presents the general material, and *secondly*, teams up the students into four or five heterogeneous groups. *Thirdly*, the students are asked to do small group discussion to work on the worksheets, discuss problems together, compare answers, and correct misconceptions. The major function of the team is to encourage its members to do best in their work. *Fourthly*, after finishing the worksheets, the students take individual quizzes that are not allowed to help each other. This makes sure that every student is responsible for comprehending the material. *Lastly*, the teacher may give reward or

recognition if students' average scores exceed a certain criterion.

Besides cooperative learning strategy, motivation is also an important factor to effect on students in mastering material. Not all the students have the same motivation to study English. Motivation in education can determine how the students studies and how the students consider the lesson. A person's working motivation is the source of behavioral arrangement. In working, motivation is often clarified naturally as intrinsic and extrinsic factors. According to Brown (1994) there are two kinds of motivation which is influencing students in learning English: *first*, intrinsic motivation which aimed at bringing about certain internally rewarding consequence, namely feeling of competence and self determination. *Second*, extrinsic motivation which is carried out in anticipation of reward from outside and beyond their self.

Based on the explanation above, the writer is interested in knowing whether there is a significant effect of the use of the Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) and learning motivation toward students reading competence that is conducted in SMP Negeri 3 UBUD

TYPE OF ARTICLE

The type of research is quantitative research which is it held in SMP Negeri 3 UBUD. This article was to fulfill the criterion graduation standard in the Post Graduate Program in Ganesha University of Education (UNDIKSHA)

METHOD

In order to obtain the intended data, several procedures conducted. Those steps are: 1) all instruments needed during the experiment prepared in advance by the researcher. 2) Those instruments were consulted with the experts (the researcher's supervisors). 3) Before the instruments are used, they be tried out to prove their validity and reliability. 4) The population of this study was the 2nd grade students of SMP Negeri 3 UBUD, generally consist of forty

students in each class. There were 4 classes in 2nd grade there were VIII. A, VIII.B, VIII.C, and VIII.D. Two groups as sample were selected randomly and followed by *intact random sampling*. 5) From the two groups, lottery technique is used by the researcher to determine the control group and experimental group. 6) Both the control and experiment groups be given a questionnaire and they should answer the questionnaire in order to classify the students into the students having high and low motivation in learning English. For this study, Likert Scale with 5 respective scales was used for motivation questionnaires. 7) Two groups were treated differently. The experimental group was taught by using STAD method and the

control group taught by using conventional method. 8) The posttest is administered to each group after conducting eight time treatment. Each treatment lasted for 80 minutes. 9) The score obtained from the posttest is subjected for further analysis. The analyses were conducted descriptively and inferentially by using Two-Way ANOVA and Tukey test.

Discussion

Data descriptions of the central tendency (median, mean, and mode) and the spread of dispersion (standard deviation, variance, range, minimum, and maximum) of the eight groups of data (A1, A2, B1, B2, A1B1, A2B1, A1B2, A2B2) were presented in Table 01 below.

Table. 1. The Summary of the Calculation of Central Tendency and Dispersion

Statistic	Group							
	A1	A2	B1	B2	A1B1	A2B1	A1B2	A2B2
N	30	30	30	30	15	15	15	15
Mean	84.966	73.000	83.833	74.133	88.333	79.333	81.600	66.666
Median	85.000	75.000	85.000	75.000	90.000	80.000	80.000	65.000
Mode	80.00	75.00	90.00	70.00	90.00	75.00	80.00	70.00
Std Deviation	5.26	8.76	7.18	8.85	4.20	6.77	3.94	5.23
Variance	27.757	76.897	51.661	78.395	17.667	45.952	15.543	27.381
Range	20.00	30.00	30.00	27.00	15.00	25.00	12.00	15.00
Minimum	75.00	60.00	65.00	60.00	80.00	65.00	75.00	60.00
Maximum	95.00	90.00	95.00	87.00	95.00	90.00	87.00	75.00

The result of hypothesis 1, Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) is more effective than Conventional method to teach reading or H_0 was rejected that make there is a significant different effect between Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) and conventional methods. According to the calculation above states that H_0 was rejected, it can be concluded that there was a significant different effect

on students' reading competence among the students who were taught by using STAD and those who were taught by using conventional Method. It could be seen from the calculation finding of Two-Way ANOVA of which the F_{ob} 42.195 and the value of F_{cv} is 4.00, which meant that $F_{ob} > F_{cv}$ so that H_0 was rejected and H_1 was accepted. In addition, using descriptive statistics, it was found that the mean score of the students'

reading competence who were taught by using STAD Method ($\bar{X}_{A1} = 84.966$) was higher than the mean score of the students' reading competence who were taught by using conventional reading Method ($\bar{X}_{A2} = 73.000$). So it can conclude that Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) had a better effect in improving the students reading competence than conventional method. Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) was applied into the Experiment group and the conventional method was applied to control group

The result of hypothesis 2 was there is a significant effect of learning motivation toward the students' reading competence. It could be seen from the calculation finding of Two-Way ANOVA of which the F_{ob} 7.008 and the value of F_{cv} is 4.00, which meant that $F_{ob} > F_{cv}$ so that H_0 was rejected and H_1 was accepted. It can be concluded that there was a significant effect of learning motivation toward student's competence in reading

The result of hypothesis 3 about the interaction between Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) and learning motivation in teaching reading showed that the null hypothesis was rejected so the alternative hypothesis was accepted. It means that there was a significant interactional effect between STAD method and learning motivation on students' reading competence instead of that it could be seen from the computed data through Two-Way ANOVA assisted by SPSS 17.0 of which the value of F_{AB} was 9.610 which was higher than the value of F_{cv} that was 4.00. It can be concluded that there are an interaction between Student Team Achievement Division and learning motivation in students' reading competence. Because there is an interaction between STAD and Motivation toward reading competence so, we should do a further test using the Tukey test to know with part the interaction appears.

The result of the first post hoc (*tukey test*) testing about There is a significant difference in reading competence between students' having high motivation taught

using Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) and the student's having high motivation taught using conventional method revealed that the value Q_{ob} was 4.86 which was higher than the value of Q_{cv} that was 3.01, $\alpha = 0.05$ ($Q_{ob} > Q_{cv}$, $\alpha = 0.05$). It meant that the null hypothesis was rejected but alternative hypothesis was accepted. For this reason, it was proven that the student having high motivation who were taught by using the Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) method and the student having high motivation taught by using conventional method there are significant interaction.

The result of the first post hoc (*tukey test*) testing about There is a significant difference in reading competence between students having low motivation taught using Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) and the student's having low motivation taught using conventional method revealed that the value Q_{ob} was 8.07 which was higher than the value of Q_{cv} that was 3.01, $\alpha = 0.05$ ($Q_{ob} > Q_{cv}$, $\alpha = 0.05$). It meant that the null hypothesis was rejected but alternative hypothesis was accepted. Because H_0 was rejected, it can be concluded that there was a significant different effect on students' reading competence between the students having low motivation were taught by using STAD Method and those having low motivation were taught by using conventional Method.

Conclusion

Based on the previous description of the data analysis, the writer can make the conclusions as follows: 1) Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) Method is more effective than Conventional Method to teach reading in the 2nd grade students of SMPN 3 UBUD. 2) There is a significant affect influence by their motivation to their mastering reading competence. 3) There is an interactional effect between the two variables, teaching methods and learning motivation in the second year students of SMPN 3 UBUD. 4) Students having high motivation in STAD Method class have

better reading competence than students having high motivation in Conventional Method in the second year students of SMPN 3 UBUD. 5) Students having low motivation in STAD Method class have better reading competence than students having low motivation in Conventional Method in the second year students of SMPN 3 UBUD.

Suggestion

There are some suggestions which can be given to the readers as follows: 1) it is suggested to the teachers of the SMP Negeri 3 Ubud to implement Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) in English class, especially in teaching Reading. STAD affects positively to the process of students reading competence, which leads the improvement of the students' reading competence. 2) It is suggested for all the teachers who had the same problem as what the researcher found to apply STAD as an alternative teaching method to improve the students' competence especially in reading. 3) It is suggested for the researchers can use the result of this study as the starting point for further research in the same field or as a reference for other studies in different field.

Reference

- Adesoji, A. Francis. 2009. Effects Of Student Teams-Achievement Divisions Strategy And Mathematics Knowledge On Learning Outcomes In Chemical Kinetics. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi The Journal Of International Social Research Volume 2/6 Winter 2009*
- Aebersold, J. Ann and Field, Mary Lee. 1997. *From Reader to Reading Teacher: Issue and Strategies for Second Language Classroom*. Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, Richard. C et al. 1985. *Becoming a Nation of Readers*. Washington. D.C: National Institute of Education.

- Anderson, M & Anderson, K 2003a, Macmillan Education Australia PTY LTD.
- Arikunto, S. 2003. *Dasar-dasar evaluasi pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Bandura, A. 1986. *Social Foundations of Thought and Action*. Englewood cliffs, NJ: practice-Hall
- Bellegrade, Beech, R. John and Singleton, Chris. 2003. *The psychological Assessment of Reading*. USA: Routledge.
- Bernhardt, B. Elizabeth. 1991. *Reading Development in a Second Language: Theoretical, Empirical, and Classroom Perspectives*. New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Bira, I Made, 2008. *Pengaruh Pendekatan Pecahan Masalah Open-Ended dan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif STAD Terhadap Kompetensi Belajar Divergen Siswa Kelas VII SMP Negeri 2 Sukawati tahun Pelajaran 2008/2009*, Thesis, Post Graduate Program of Undiksha Singaraja,
- Bloom, B. S., Englehart, M. B., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. 1956. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, the classification of educational goals – Handbook I: Cognitive Domain*. New York: McKay
- Bloom, B.S., D.R. (2002). *Revising Bloom's Taxonomy*. New York: David McKay.
- Borg, W.R., Meredith, D., and Gall J.P. 2003. *Educational Research on Introduction*. United State of America: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Brophy, Jere. 1998. *Motivating Students to Learn*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Brown, H. 1994. *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2000. *Teaching by Principles : An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy Second Edition*. New York: Pearson Education Company.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2003. *Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices*. New York: Pearson Education Company.
- Brown, H. D. 2001. *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. White Plains, New York: Longman.
- Brown, H. D. 2007. *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy (3rd Ed)* White Plains, New York: Pearson Education
- Budiarta, AK. 2011. *The Effect Of Student Team Achievement Division Technique And The Achievement Motivation On The Writing Achievement Of The Tenth Year Students Of Sma Negeri 4 Singaraja In The Academic Year 2011/2012*. Thesis, Post Graduate Program of Undiksha Singaraja.
- Burns, Paul C. et.al. 1996. *Teaching Reading in Today's Elementary Schools 6th Ed*. New Jersey: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Bond, Guy. L, Miles A. Tinker, Barbara B. Wasson, John B. Wasson. 1979. *Reading Difficulties: Their Diagnosis and Correction*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bruce, W Tuckman. 1978. *Conducting Educational Research*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc
- Candiasa, I M. 2010. *Statistik Multivariat Desertai Aplikasi SPSS*. Singaraja: Unit Penerbitan Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
- Cinelli, B. 1994. *Applying Cooperative Learning in Health Education Practice Journal of School Health*, 64 (3), 99-102
- Carell, P., Devine, J. and Eskey, D. 1988. *Interactive Approaches To Second Language Reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cole, G. Peter and Chan Lorna. 1994. *Teaching Principle and Practice*. Australia: Prentice Hall
- Charms. Df., 1968. R. *Personal Causation: The Internal Affective Determinants of Behavior*. New York: Academic Press,.
- Flesch, Rudolph. 1981. *Why Johnny Can't Read And What You Can Do About It*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Finnochiaro, Marry. 1974. *English As A Second Language From Theory To Practice*. New York: Regent Publishing Company Ltd.
- Fraenkel and Wallen. 1993. *How To Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. USA: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Freeman, Diane. 1994. *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching*. New York: Oxford.
- Festinger, L. *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Evanston, 111: Row, Peterson, 1957.
- Festinger, L. *The Effect of Compensation on Cognitive Processes*. Paper presented at the McKinsey Foundation Conference on Managerial Compensation, Tarrytown, New York, March 1967.

- Gay, L.R, Mills, G.E. & Airasian, P. 2009. *Education Research: Competencies for analysis and applications*, ninth Edition. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson
- Grabe, William and Stoller, L. Fredericka. 2002. *Teaching and Research Reading*. England: Harlow Essex.
- Gregory, R.J. 2000. *Psychological Testing History, Principle and Applications*. Boston : Allyn and Bacon Inc. A Pearson: Education Company.
- Hartono, R. 2005. *Gendre-Based Writing*. Semarang: Semarang State University
- H. Douglas Brown, *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching Second Edition*, 1987. [Http://www.cambridge.org/us/esl/touchstone/images/pdf/McCarten_booklet.pdf](http://www.cambridge.org/us/esl/touchstone/images/pdf/McCarten_booklet.pdf)
- Heaton, J.B. 1989. *Writing English language Tests*. Longman Group, Ltd: NewYork.
- Johnson, D. 2005. *Cooperative Learning: Increasing College Faculty Instructional Productive*. Online. (www.ntlf.com/html/lib/lob/92-2dig.htm).
- Johnson, R.T.& Johnson, D.W.. 1987. *Joining Together and Alone: Cooperative, Competitive and individualistic Learning (5th Ed)*. New Jersey: Englewood Cliff
- Johnson, R.T.& Johnson, D.W. 1986. *Encouraging Student Interaction. Research Matter to The Science Teacher*. National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Johnson, D., Johnson, R. 1999. *Learning Together and Alone : Cooperative, Competitive, and Individualistic learning*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Johnson, D., Johnson, R. & Holubec, E. 1998. *Cooperation in The Classroom*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Johnson,D.W.;Johnson,R.T.;and Zaindman, B. 1981. Oral interaction in cooperative learning groups: speaking, listening and nature statements made by high, medium and low achieving students. *Journal of Psychology* 119: 303 – 321.
- Kagan, S. 1985. *Cooperative learning: Resources for teachers*. Riverside, CA: University of California.
- Kessler, Carolyn. 1992. *Cooperative Language Learning*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Kehoe, Jerard. 1995. *Writing Multiple-Choice Test Items Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*.
- Killen, Roy. 1996. *Effective Teaching Strategies: Lesson from Research and Practice*. Social Science Press: Australia
- Knapp, P. & Watkins, M. 2005. *Genre, Text Grammar: Technologies for Teaching and Assessing Writing*. Sydney, Australia: University of New South Wales Press, Ltd.
- Latra, Nyoman, 2010. *The Effect of Cooperative Learning Stad Strategy and Learning Motivation Toward The Students Achievement in English Writing in Grade VII SMP Negeri MENDOYO*”, Thesis, Post Graduate Program of Undiksha Singaraja,
- Likert Scale available link : https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/likert_scale.

- Lokita, I. G. A., 2010. *The effect of peer assessment on students writing achievement with differing achievement motivation*, Thesis, Post Graduate Program of Undiksha Singaraja,.
- Moryadee, W. 2001. Effects of cooperative learning using student teams-achievement divisions technique on self-efficacy and English learning achievement of prathomsuksa five students. M.Ed .Dissertation, Chulalongkorn University.
- Mukarto, Sujatmiko, Josephine, & Kiswara. 2007. *English on Sky 2 for Junior High School Students Year VIII*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Novika. N. T. 2011. *The effectiveness of Student Team Achievement Division (stad) technique in teaching reading Comprehension of the eighth grade students of smp Negeri 03 wanasari in the academic year 2010/2011*). Semarang.
- Nuttall, Christine. 1996. *Teaching Reading Skills: in a Foreign Language*. Jordan Hill: Reed Educational and Professional Publishing Limited.
- Rae, Gwenneth. 1981. *Informal Reading Diagnosis: A Practical Guide for the Classroom Teacher*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall. Inc
- Rebecca, H. Stone, Richard T. Boon, Cecil Fore III, and William N. Bender. 2008. *Use of Text Maps to Improve the Reading Comprehension Skills among Students in High School With Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*. University of Georgia.
- Rebecca, J. L. 2003. *A Crittical handbook of Chirdren's Literature*. Massachuset: Pearson Education, inc.
- Ria Anggreni, Gusti Ayu Kadek. 2011. *The Effect of Circle the Sage upon Reading Comprehension of Eight grade Students of SMPN 2 Sawan in the Academic Year 2010/2011*. Unpublished thesis of Undiksha.
- Sardiman, A.M. 2009. *Interaksi & motivasi belajar mengajar*. Jakarta: PT.Raja Grafindo Persada
- Sharan, S. 1990. Co-operative learning: A perspective on research and practice. In S. Sharan (Ed.), *Co-operative learning: Theory and research* (pp. 285-300). New York
- Slavin, E. Robert. 1995. *Cooperative learning: Theory, Research, and Practice*. Massachusetts: Needham Heights.
- Slavin, E.R. 2009. *Educational Psychology Theory and Practice*. New Jersey: Pearson.
- Steers, M. Richard and Porter, W. Lyman. 1991. *Motivation and Work Behavior*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Book.
- Stipek, D. 1996. *Motivation and instruction*. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 85--113). New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Sugiono. 2007. *Statistic untuk peneltian*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- Sugiyono, 2008. *Metode Penelitian Quantitative, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta
- Sutama, I Wayan, "Pengaruh strategi pembelajaran kooperatif tipe STAD terhadap kemampuan membaca pemahaman bahasa inggris ditinjau dari kebiasaan belajar di kelas IX SMP Negeri NUSA PENIDA. Thesis, Post Graduate Program of Undiksha Singaraja, 2010

Swaffar, J.K., Arans, K.M. and Byrnes, H.
1991. *Reading For Meaning.
Integrated Approach to Language
Learning*. N Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Tinker, Douglas and McCollough, Jason.
2003. *Reading Strategies Focus on
Comprehension*. New York: Wesley
Publishing Company

Uno Hanzah, B. 2008. *Teori Motivation &
Pengukurannya*. Jakarta: PT: Bumi Aksara.

Wajnryb, Ruth. 1993. *Classroom
Observation Task; Resource book for
Language Teacher and Trainers*.
Britain: Cambridge University Press.

Westwood, Peter. 2008. *What Teachers
Need to Know about Reading and
Writing Difficulties*. Victoria: ACER
Press.

Wiersma, William. 1991. *Research Method
in Education: An Introcution*. New
York: Ally & Bacon, Inc